

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/758,793 | 01/16/2004 | Janis T. Eells | 650053.91690 | 6505 |
| 26710 | 7590 09/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE | | | JOHNSON III, HENRY M | |
| SUITE 2040 | * | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MILWAUKI | EE, WI 53202-4497 | • | 3739 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | Е | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/758,793 | EELLS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Henry M. Johnson, III | 3739 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. By be timely filed Sometimes filed from the mailing date of this communicate of the communicate of the communicate from the co | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>05 July 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| /- | , | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice un | nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | 1 | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | thdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet is a specific sheet (s) including the country. | is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for | uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | e | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | /Mail Date formal Patent Application | | | | |

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 5, 2006 with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The indicated allowability of claim 9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references to Mori et al. and North et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,599,891 to North et al. North et al. teach a method for treating macular edemas using light with a wavelength between 550 and 695 nanometers (Col. 25, line 29) with a fluence from 1-50 J/cm² (Col. 4, line 41). The light may be provided by LEDs (Col. 3, line 16). 50 mW/cm² for 166 seconds is specifically disclosed (Col. 25, lines 10-12). The method may be repeated up to four times (Col. 26, line 51) based on observation of results.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/758,793

Art Unit: 3739

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,599,891 to North et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rosner et al., "Dose and Temporal Parameters in Delaying Injured Optic Nerve Degeneration by Low-energy Laser Irradiation," Laser Surgery Med. 13:61 1-617, 1993. North et al. are discussed above, but do not teach 24-hour intervals between treatments. Rosner et al. teach the use of low energy laser radiation to delay the degeneration of injured optic nerves. The wavelength of the He-Ne laser is 632.8 nanometers and the treatments are disclosed as once a day (every 24 hours) for 14 consecutive days (abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the treatment intervals as taught by Rosner et al. in the methods of North et al. as both are treating related injury or damage. While neither North et al. nor Rosner et al. teach multiple treatments in a day, it is considered obvious that a skilled artesian would determine the appropriate interval based on knowledge since the applicant's disclosure does not place any criticality on the interval and indicates a wide range from several times per day to weekly treatments. It is proper to take into consideration not only the teachings of the prior art, but also the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Luck, 476 F.2d 650, 177 USPQ

Art Unit: 3739

523 (CCPA 1973). Specifically, those of ordinary skill in the art are presumed to have some knowledge of the art apart from what is expressly disclosed in the references. <u>In re Jacoby</u>, 309 F.2d 513, 135 USPQ 317 (CCPA 1962).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,984,655 teaches radiation of an eye with times, wavelengths and fluences as claimed by the applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Henry M. Johnson, III Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739